(28)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W. P.(S) No. 1843 of 2007

Petitioners : 1. Dwarika Das Lahre, S/o. Chetan Das Lahre, Aged about 37 years, Assistant Teacher, Govt. Middle School, Nandal (Nawagarh), Distt. Durg (C.G.)

2. Chandra Kumar Bharadwaj, S/o. Shri Jagan Ram Bharadwaj, Aged about 36 years, Assistant Teacher, Govt. H.S. School, (Nawagarh), Distt. Durg (C.G.)

3. Santosh Kumar Mishra, S/o. Radheshyam Mishra, Aged about 37 years, Assistant Teacher, Govt. Girls H.S. School, Bemetara, Distt. Durg, (C.G.)

Versus

Respondents 1. State of Chhattisgarh & Others Through- Secretary, School Education, D,K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. Director Lok Shikshan, Raipur (C.G.) District Education Officer, Raipur (C.G.)

3. District Education Officer, Bemetara, Distt. Durg, (C.G.)

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri, J

Present: Mr. Somkant Verma, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Arvind Dubey, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on this 29th Day of March, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that the question of law involved in this petition is governed by the Circular dated 20-1-1987. The High Court of Madhya Pradesh in Indraveer Singh Tomar vs. State of M.P. and others[1] has considered the issue and disposed of the said matter in following terms:-

> Pare 2 "..... In view of this submission advanced by Counsel for parties, this petition is disposed of by giving following directions. The respondent No. 1 shall consider petitioner's representations referred to above and dispose of the same at an early date, preferably within a period of 3 months from the date of communication of this order in accordance with law, keeping in mind the circulars issued by State Govt. as also the directions given by the Tribunal in similar matters."

2. Learned counsel appearing for the parties would jointly submit and admit that this case, being similar in nature, is squarely covered by the decision of the Madhya Pradesh High Court in the case of Indraveer Singh Tomar (Supra).

3. In view of aforestated reasons, this petition is disposed of in the same terms. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

---

1 2004(2) M.P.H.T. 3(NOC)

Subhash